



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,693	08/15/2000	Geert Maertens	2752-15	2013

7590 07/29/2002

Nixon & Vanderhye PC
1100 North Glebe Road
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201-4717

EXAMINER

MARTINELL, JAMES

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1631

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

17

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The reply filed on May 28, 2002 and the response file January 17, 2002 are not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

There are several confusing issues in this application.


First, the claims have been renumbered as outlined in the Office action mailed December 17, 2001. Applicants assert that the originally filed application did not end at page 70 and did not contain 21 claims (paper no. 15, page 7). Applicants also refer to an attached copy of the original application. No attached copy of the original application is in the file. Applicants may wish to inspect the PTO file to discover any discrepancies between their copy and the PTO copy of this file and rectify them.

Second, because of the above, applicants' election is confusing because it elects claim 69 and SEQ ID NO: 62, yet claim 69 mentions only SEQ ID NO: 144 and not SEQ ID NO: 62. Clarification of the election is required.

Third Applicants' submission of a published WO94/25601 as a paper copy of the Sequence Listing is improper because it is not clear what is to be inserted into the instant application. Accordingly, the Sequence Listing has not been entered and applicants are required to submit a paper or CD copy of a Sequence Listing (see Mpep 2400 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Fourth, applicants are required to clarify just which claims are in the application.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).


James Martinell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1631